December 16, 2022

Eriendeep Uppal Sent electronically to

Housing: Off Campus SUID #

Dear Eriendeep:

This letter is to confirm the outcome reached by the University Conduct Board at the hearing held on November 14, 2022. The University Conduct Board determined the following outcomes regarding your alleged violation of the Code of Student Conduct, section(s):

- 3) Assistance, participation in, promotion of, or perpetuation of conduct, whether physical, electronic, oral, written or video, which threatens the mental health, physical health, or safety of anyone. -- Responsible
- 11) Illegal purchase, distribution, manufacture, or sale of alcohol, drugs, or drug paraphernalia or any other violation of the Syracuse University Policy on Alcohol, Other Drugs, and Tobacco. -- Not Responsible
- 12) Failure to comply with the lawful directives of University officials who are performing the duties of their office, especially as they are related to the maintenance of safety or security. -- **Responsible**
- 15) Assistance, participation in, promotion of, or perpetuation of hazing. -- Responsible
- 17) For student leaders, failure to intervene or notify the university when a student knows of a situation that threatens the health and safety of another individual or the campus community. -- **Responsible**

As a result of your misconduct, the Board has applied the following sanctions:

You are hereby placed on a status of suspension from Syracuse University for one (1) semester, effective December 16, 2022. You are to remain on suspension until the Summer 2023 term. This means that you are prohibited from any presence or activity on Syracuse University-owned, operated, or controlled property and from enrollment or participation in any course or program offered by Syracuse University. This includes the University Sheraton, The Marshall, Park Point, Campus West, Drumlins Country Club, and University Village. Should you need access to campus property or programs for any reason, you

**Community Standards** 

must obtain prior approval from the Interim Director of Community Standards or designee. Failure to adhere to this directive will result in new Student Conduct Code charges being filed where Expulsion is a possibility and you will be arrested for trespassing. Furthermore, violating this sanction may be grounds to deny your petition to return to the University, especially if you were suspended for threats/violence-related behavior, damage to property, or drug sales.

You may petition to return to Syracuse University as early as the **Summer 2023** academic term. To be considered for readmission for a future academic term, you are required to submit a petition demonstrating your good citizenship during your time away from Syracuse University.

This petition must be in writing or in another tangible medium and must include all of the following:

A **personal statement** (1) reflecting on what you have learned from the incident that resulted in your departure from Syracuse University; (2) describing your activities during the period in which you have been separated from the University (such as enrollment in courses at another college or university or full or part-time employment); and (3) articulating with specificity the ways in which you will contribute to building a positive community at Syracuse University if you are permitted to return.

You must submit **evidence of academic progress and/or gainful employment** during your time away from Syracuse University. You are strongly encouraged to contact your academic advisor to discuss the process for transferring credits into your program at Syracuse University. Please arrange for these materials to be sent directly to Community Standards, 804 University Avenue, Suite 106, Syracuse, NY 13244 in the following format:

- You will be required to submit an official transcript from any institution you attended during your suspension from Syracuse University; and/or
- 2. You will be required to submit a paystub and/or a letter on company letterhead which clearly verifies your dates of employment and scope of your work.

You must submit written verification of the completion of at least 45 hours of community service beginning on or after December 7, 2022. Community service projects must be verified in writing by the agency that you have chosen to serve. Community service hours may not be verified by any member of your family or by any student of Syracuse University or SUNY ESF. In addition, you may not receive payment for your services.

You must submit at least **three (3) character references** from individuals who will be able to attest to your good citizenship, maturity and readiness to return to Syracuse University. These references must be in writing and may not be completed by a family member, friend or another Syracuse University or SUNY ESF student.

You are to complete a decision-making assignment designed to encourage you to reflect on the choices you make. You are to watch the TED Talk by Ruth Chang entitled "How to Make Hard Choices" available online at <a href="https://www.ted.com/talks/ruth\_chang\_how\_to\_make\_hard\_choices">www.ted.com/talks/ruth\_chang\_how\_to\_make\_hard\_choices</a>.

maintained for seven years from the date of the most recent incident in the student's file or until one year after the student has graduated from the University, whichever is longer, provided the student was not suspended, expelled, prohibited from future enrollment or otherwise withdrawn for disciplinary or medical reasons, in which case the records are retained indefinitely.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office. To review the Syracuse University Student Conduct System Handbook please visit <a href="mailto:syr.edu/studentconduct">syr.edu/studentconduct</a>. If you have difficulty locating any online forms to send information to this office, please visit the <a href="mailto:">"Forms"</a> <a href="mailto:section">section</a> of the Community Standards website or contact this office at <a href="mailto:studentconduct@syr.edu">studentconduct@syr.edu</a>.

Regards,

La'Kesa Allen Coordinator, Community Standards

CC: Catie Carroll, Student Conduct Investigator Student Outreach
Whitman School of Management
On-Campus Living
Parent and Family Programs
Parent/Guardian
Sheriah N. Dixon, Dean of Students
Department of Public Safety, Supervisors
Readmission Coordinator

# UNIVERSITY CONDUCT BOARD HEARING OPINION

Caitlyn Carroll, Student Conduct Investigator On behalf of Community Standards **Complainant** 

and

Eriendeep Uppal, Student **Respondent** 

# **OPINION OF THE BOARD**

At a session of the University Conduct Board Held on 11/14/2022 from 6:03pm – 8:14pm via Zoom Video Conference

Advisor to Complainant:	Advisor to Respondent:		

### ITEMS PROVIDED IN THE CASE FILE FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD

Final Investigative Incident Report written by Caitie Carroll including Enclosures 1-22, (CS) Initial Appointment Letter, File Ready Letter, CS Meeting Reminder Letter, Refer to Hearing Letter, University Conduct Board Hearing Notice, CS Reschedule Appointment Letter, Pre- Hearing Submission with statement, Respondent Pre-hearing submission with Character Witness Statements

#### STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS AND RULINGS

Did either party challenge participation of any Board members for any reason? No

Did the Respondent accept responsibility for any of the charges? No, the Respondent did not.

Did the Complainant or Respondent make any objections during the hearing? Yes, the Respondent stated in their closing argument that the board did not allow all their questions to be addressed to the Complainant and to her witnesses. The Respondent stated that the board also restated her initial questions in a manner which did not reflect her initial inquiry.

#### CHARGES AND STATEMENTS OF PARTIES

The Complainant alleges that the Respondent has violated the Student Conduct Code, sections:

- 3. Assistance, participation in, promotion of, or perpetuation of conduct, whether physical, electronic, oral, written or video, which threatens the mental health, physical health, or safety of anyone.
- 11. Illegal purchase, distribution, manufacture, or sale of alcohol, drugs, or drug paraphernalia or any other violation of the Syracuse University Policy on Alcohol, Other Drugs, and Tobacco.
- 12. Failure to comply with the lawful directives of university officials who are performing the duties of their office, especially as they are related to the maintenance of safety or security.
- 15. Assistance, participation in, promotion of, or perpetuation of hazing as defined in the Syracuse University Anti-Hazing Policy.
- 17. For student leaders, failure to intervene or notify the University when a student knows of a situation that threatens the health and safety of another individual or the

### The Complainant stated that the Respondent:

- Is a returning Orientation Leader (OL) for the 2022-2023 academic year.
- The 2022-2023 OL training and Welcome Week occurred on August 17<sup>th</sup> -22<sup>nd</sup>, and on August 23<sup>rd</sup> -28<sup>th</sup>, 2022.
- Joined and acted as a leader in the OL unofficial Party Planning Committee (PPC).
- Planned a series of activities on August 15<sup>th</sup> -August 27<sup>th</sup>, 2022. Including a scavenger hunt on August 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022.
- OL's "dry" policy, prohibits OLs from drinking alcohol 24 hours prior to New Student Move-In.
- 2022-2023 New Student Move-In occurred August 25th 28th, 2022.
- OL's who attended and consumed alcohol at off campus parties on August 25<sup>th</sup> -28<sup>th</sup>, 2022 did not adhere to the OL dry policy.
- Facilitated group activities for new and returning OLs.
- Assisted, promoted and or participated in hazing behaviors toward new med A2friou 2Lh/1.5 0 Tf4J-1tiPeco •

## The Respondent stated that:

- She is a returning Orientation Leader for the 2022-2023 Academic year.
- Orientation Leaders are not bound to OL expectations as determined by New Student and Family programs off campus.
- She arranged traditional events and activities for new and returning orientation leaders.
- Her intentions were to bring Orientation Leaders together and bond together with the group.
- No events or activities from August 17<sup>th</sup>-28<sup>th</sup>, 2022 were mandatory outside of Orientation Leader training.
- Events and activities arranged by the Party Planning Committee were traditional bonding events.
- Her role was a traditional position where their language was understood as "lighthearted" and "satirical".
- She was not an official leader as Party Planning Committee member.
- She was handed down their role in the Party Planning Committee.
- She did not sign the Orientation Leader Contract, but it was discussed during OL training.
- None of the events were purposefully designed to compromise the safety of the group or demoralize individuals.
- They did not purchase any alcohol for Orientation Leaders.
- They collected money for event materials such as silverware, plates, and stationery.

The Respondent presented the following evidence in support of this claim: (Did the Respondent refer to any specific evidence during their presentation?)

- Respondent testimony
- Witness testimony

#### THE BOARD'S FINDING OF FACT

#### **Party Credibility**

The Board should comment on the credibility it determined for each party involved, including any witness or impact statements submitted. Reasons should be provided for why a party was determined to be credible or not credible.

- 1. Complainant Credible, the Complainant spoke to the information we received in the Board's initial case files and provided a testimony that aligned with the evidence from the chat and their initial investigative report.
- 2. Respondent Partially Credible, the Respondent did speak to their personal experience and intentions. However, the information the Respondent provided appeared to cover up their participation in the events in question. The Respondent also added information to their testimony in a way to make her actions perceived in a appear positive.

- 3. Witness:

   Credible, the witness shared information to bolster the Respondent's testimony. The witness' responses appeared to be scripted and that they may have read their responses as the witness provided additional information where the board had not asked, the witnesses eyes also appeared to follow their personal screen while answering questions. The witness stated that all the group activities and gatherings were voluntary, and no one person led group activities.
- 4. Witness:

   Partly Credible, the witness spoke to his personal experience and his answers appeared genuine. The witness did not offer any additional details to advance the Respondent's testimony. The witness also stated that they knew OLs who did not participate in the group scavenger hunt, "Mr. Robert" themed party or the 'Chicken Tender Rave". The witness also stated that the Respondent did not send any messages regarding group events in the OL GroupMe chat.
- 5. Witness:

   Partly Credible, the witness offered conflicting information in his testimony. The witness stated that even though OLs were told "not to tell anyone" about OL activities, those activities were not "secret". The witness stated that none of the OLs found the Respondent's language demoralizing and that everyone felt safe since no one expressed their concerns directly to him or the Respondent. The witness was a head OL, but he was unable to

- **8.** The Respondent promoted a "Mr. Robert" themed party on August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2022, at an off-campus house and advertised it as "BYOB" (CS Final Investigative Report, and 2022-2023 GroupMe OL Chat).
- **9.** The Respondent offered to purchase alcohol for other OLs before the "Mr. Robert" themed party off campus. (GroupMe OL Chat).
- **10.** On August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2022, Orientation Leaders gathered on the quad at midnight where all non-seniors wore black, and seniors wore white in preparation for the Senior night "traditional" activity. (CS Final Investigative Report, and 2022-2023 GroupMe OL Chat).
- **11.** On August 24th, 2022, a scavenger hunt occurred where members were asked to take pictures of themselves and complete the following tasks:
  - Take picture doing a human pyramid;
  - Lick Abe statute on campus;
  - Kiss an Orientation leader on the mouth on the kissing bench;
  - Ask a group to get in a TikTok;
  - Barrel-roll down Carnegie steps;
  - "Sing a Song after me dinner song (Extra points for creativity, think of daddy Lucas)" (CS Final Investigative Report, and 2022-2023 GroupMe OL Chat).
- **12.** The Respondent planned and attended off campus events where alcohol was present, served and consumed. (CS Final Investigative Report).
- 13. Orientation Leaders were asked to sign Orientation Leader Contracts and were verbally, electronically informed of their roles and expectations as Orientation Leaders. (2022 OL Expectations written by New Stuf-26w -3 -1.2Tc 0 Tw 0.98 0 Td()(be)-3 ts and w6 Final InvesI57.and5.Cxtrtuf-

alcohol related purchases.

The Board finds the Respondent responsible for Section 12 since the Respondent organized gatherings with OL where alcohol was present from August 17<sup>th</sup> -August 28<sup>th</sup>, despite their obligation to remain "dry" 24 hours prior to New Student Move-In. The Respondent hosted off-campus gatherings with alcohol despite obligations in the 2022-2023 OL Expectation Contract.

The Board finds the Respondent responsible for Section 15 because the events the Respondent hosted/ promoted and how the Respondent communicated with new OLs violated the Syracuse University Anti- Hazing Policy. The Respondent facilitated the OL Scavenger Hunt, which compromised the health and safety of OL's, asked to "Lick the Abe Statute" on main campus, "Barrel Roll down Carnegie steps" and "Kiss another OL on the mouth on the Kissing Bench". While communicating with new OLs in the GroupMe chat the Respondent also used degrading language, referring to them as "stinky rats", "wiener holes", "pimps and whores" and "filthy slutbags" as they were encouraged to participate in off campus gatherings and group activities. The Party Planning Committee created environment where OLs were led by the PPC and returning OLs at gatherings, and through language to participate in activities which violated their dignity, and compromised their safety to foster bonds, and promote OL traditions. The PPC also frequently referred to such events as "bonding" and "traditions" passed down to new OLs by former and current OL's affiliated with New Student and Family Programs. The Board acknowledges the Respondents actions caused a substantial risk to the mental and physical health of the fellow OL's.

The Board finds the Respondent responsible for Section 17 because as a student leader, the Respondent did not abide to the Orientation Leader guidelines or to the University's Student Conduct Code. The Respondent did not adhere to the OL dry policy outlined in their OL contract/expectations. The Respondent also failed to notify New Student and Family Programs before they organized and promoted gatherings for OLs where alcohol was present and students where alcohol use and distribution was not monitored.

## The Board recommends that the Respondent be given the following sanction(s):

- o A one (1) semester suspension from Syracuse University for the Spring 2023
- Anti-Hazing workshop
- Draft an Anti-Hazing Information Program
- Decision-Making Assignment
- 45 hours of Community Service

The Board recommends the Respondent be placed on a one (1) semester suspension for Spring 2023, followed by attending an anti-hazing workshop, a personal draft of an anti-hazing information program and complete a decision-making written assignment.

The Board believes that some time away from campus with access to the resources mentioned above will reinforce the Respondents sense of community and teach them to make decisions and organize

activities that can strengthen bonds without compromising the safety of other parties involved. The Board finds suspension is appropriate given the role the Respondent played as an unofficial leader for fellow OL's, which impacted the actions of other individuals. The Board agrees that while the extent of physical harm was not especially high the Respondent's impact to the community could affect the future and current Orientation Leaders beyond the 2022-2023 academic year. The Board also agrees that if presented the same opportunity again knowing the consequences the Respondent would consider an alternative course of action.

The Board recommends that the Respondent complete their own draft of an anti-hazing workshop to spread awareness of diverse instances of hazing across student organizations on campus. The Board believes this project can also prevent future events of hazing before they occur and heighten student safety procedures when organizing events. The Board also understands that the although the Respondent may not have intentionally placed the health and safety of others at risk, the Respondent's actions had this affect. The Board also recommends the Respondent complete the decision-making assignment to help them use discernment when speaking to and creating activities for a group of people and complete 45 hours of community service to see how their decisions and action can have an impact on their surrounding community.