



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Philip A. Byler
Senior Litigation Counsel
Rebecca C. Nunberg
Counsel
Jeffrey S. Berkowitz
Counsel
Marybeth Sydor
Title IX Consultant

July 27, 2021

VIA OVERNIGHT & ELECTRONIC MAIL

Texan News Service
Box T-0230



<http://texannews.net/were-finally-being-heard-professor-faces-more-accusations/>

<http://texannews.net/professor-accused-of-inappropriate-behavior-still-getting-paid/>

Furthermore, you published a video report regarding false accusation against our client on March 7, 2018 (the "Video"), located at the following URL:

<http://texannews.net/texas-video-tarleton-student-accuses-professor-of-inappropriate-behavior/>

The Statements disseminate false accusations of sexual harassment against our client. Despite the fact that Tarleton State University (the "University") determined Dr. Landis had not

G [()] TJ Aw421 12 Tf 1 0 0 1 153.38 555.1 Tm 0 g 0 G [()] TJ ET Q EMC /P <</MCID 92 re 47



Further, despite our client being cleared of sexual harassment and hostile environment claims, you dedicated two additional articles to our client in the following five weeks, one of which focused on the status of his salary. Hereafter, in a September 4, 2018, article titled 'Student-faculty relationships now prohibited at Tufts', published almost six months after it was determined that our client did not commit acts of sexual harassment and that his accuser's claims were unsubstantiated, you refer to him once again. It is perplexing and disappointing that your publication has perpetuated false allegations against our client even though the university determined that those allegations were unsubstantiated. Upon information and belief, you acted maliciously in an effort to sensationalize the situation and maintain a presumption in the community that our client committed the acts alleged by his accuser.

You published these Statements and the entire Article without any due diligence as to the truthfulness of the claims. Had you conducted even the bare minimum of due diligence, you would have discovered and reported the fact that there existed no evidence in support of the claims alleged against Dr. Landis.

Furthermore, nowhere in the Article did the author remind readers that allegations are not findings; that there is an official process for determining potential violations; or that University policy requires that t

