

December 21, 2020

Page 2

comments to the person who requested the information from the governmental body not later than the 15th business day after the date of receiving the written request.” Section 552.301(e-1). This section does not include any requirement to provide supporting exhibits to a Requestor. As previously demonstrated, Collin College has provided Requestor copies of its original letter with comments and additional responses in accordance with the Act.

Further, Requestor’s reliance on OR2011-14407 is again misplaced as that ruling is highly distinguishable from the facts at issue. In that case, a governmental body directly referred the Attorney General to an exhibit which specifically contained its argument. See *id.* While the requestor acknowledged the receipt of that exhibit, the Attorney General’s office found that a second exhibit also contained “the substance of the [governmental body’s] arguments under Sections 552.103 and 552.108 . . . ” See *id.*

December 21, 2020

Page 3

nature of the information the College seeks to protect, such as the parties involved and the methods of communication chosen by the employee.¹

In addition, the College first included the information described in the footnote in its November 16th letter in response to Mr. Steinbaugh's comments. Mr. Steinbaugh's suggestion at this stage that a dispute over the redaction of a footnote in a later response would somehow invalidate the entirety of the arguments in the College's original October 27th letter on procedural grounds is unconscionable.

Regarding any other points, the College rests on its previously submitted arguments. Thank you for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me directly with questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Pete Thompson

CC: Requestor (via email)(without enclosures)

Enclosures

1